

SUMMARY OF EXAMINER INTERVIEW

Applicants thank Examiner Sims for being available for, and participating in, a telephonic interview that occurred on February 22, 2010, in which the Applicants' representative discussed the differences between the claimed invention and the references cited (e.g., Hogan at paragraphs [0187] – [0190]) in support of the 35 U.S.C. § 103 rejection of independent claims 25, 55, 85, and 91. During the discussion, Applicants' representative brought to the attention of the Examiner the inventive aspects of the present invention that are now recited by the independent claims, as amended hereinabove. Specifically, the amendments include (claim 25) displaying a specialized GUI with a button for accessing additional information, (claim 55) conducting an “arbitrage process” if genetic test results are unavailable, and (claim 85) determining whether to display a notification window to gain authorization from a physician to order a test. It is asserted that these features of the present invention, which are now recited by the claims, are not found in the combination of references as cited.

During the discussion, Examiner Sims indicated that one or more of the amendments may help clarify the distinction between the claimed invention and the cited references. Further, Examiner Sims indicated that additional clarification of the arbitrage process in the body of the claims may help advance prosecution. Accordingly, this change has been implemented in the appropriate claim.